Adhesive-Free Edge-Bond Single Crystal Silicon Mirror Substrate

Xiaodong Mu, Stephanie Meissner, Helmuth Meissner

Onyx Optics, Inc., 6551 Sierra Lane, Dublin, CA 94568

Peter N. Blake, and Peter C. Hill

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771

This work is supported by NASA Phase-I SBIR –NNX13CG29P

Outline

Introduction

— Adhesive-Free Bond (AFB®) technology and its applications

Edge-bond single crystal mirror substrate

- Phase I program overview and progress
- Roadmap toward meter-level SC-Si mirrors

Mechanical and thermal test results in AFB® composites

- Equi-biaxial flexural strength tests in AFB® Sapphire composite
- Equi-biaxial flexural strength tests in AFB® SC-Si composite
- Thermal test in AFB® YAG composites

Summary

Adhesive-Free Bond (AFB®) Technology

AFB® Generic Process Schematic





Advantages

- Allows crystal and glass materials to be joined at moderate temperatures without adhesives
- Results in comparable optical, mechanical and thermal properties to that of non-bonded materials
- Enables higher efficiency, more compact, and higher power solid state laser and photonic devices

Applications of AFB® Technology

Laser components

- Un-doped end-capped rods and slabs
- Disks and microchips
- Waveguides and crystalline fiber waveguides
- Beam splitters and polarizers
- Walk-off compensated nonlinear optics

Edge-bond larger size windows

— Sapphire, Spinel, Alon, YAG, Silicon,

Precision measurements

- Refractive index ~ 10⁻⁶
- Thermal conductivity



Edge-bonded ALON window



Nd:YAG/Cr⁴⁺:YAG microchips and rods



 $20\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ core size Tm:YAG CFW and waveguide mode



OPD between 1% Yb:YAG and 0.2% Nd:YAG, $\Delta n = 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$.

Single Crystal Silicon Mirror

Advantages

- Simple fabrication process and low cost compared with Beryllium and SiC mirrors
- Excellent surface figure and surface finish: rms < 0.5 nm
- Excellent resistance to thermal distortion, especially at cryogenic temperatures due to high homogeneity; low internal stress; low CTE ; and high thermal conductivity

V. T. Bly, et.al., Proc. of SPIE 8486, 84860P (2012)

Major challenge

— Limited size: ~ 450 mm



A Jams Webb Space Telescope primary mirror segment http://www.jwst.nasa.gov/images_mirror41.html



Silicon wafer size history

http://anysilicon.com/does-size-matter-understandingwafer-size

Onyx Optics Phase I Overview

Manufacturing process development

- Edge bond hexagonal composite from 6 equilateral triangles for $\phi > 10$ ", Thk > 1.5"
- Demonstration of technique roadmap toward meter-level SC-Si mirrors
- Precision polishing large Si substrates

Characterization of AFB® interfaces

- Optical characterization: Inspect bond interfaces by transmitted wavefront at 1.55 μm
- Thermal characterization: Measure heat transfer between bonded interfaces of SC-Si at room temperature and liquid nitrogen
- Mechanical characterization: Equi-biaxial fracture strength of composite disks;



Progress of SC-Si Hexagonal Composite



Starting SC-Si disk $\phi \sim 12 \sqrt[3]{4}$ ", Thk $\sim 2 \sqrt[3]{4}$ "



Cut into 6 equilateral triangles



Edges prepared for bonding





Final hexagonal composite Estimate: $\phi \sim 12^{\circ}$, Thk $\sim 2^{\circ}$

In process to final hexagon

1. Interface preparation

- 2. Final bond & heat treatment
- 3. Precision surface polish



Edge bond into 2 trapezoids W ~ 12 1/3", h ~ 5 1/3"

Roadmap Toward Meter Level SC-Si Composite (I)



779-mm hexagonal plate

- > Triangle cut can form near net shape hexagonal composite
- 779mm hexagonal SC-Si composite will be formed from 6 wafers
- Final area: 0.395 m²; Yield: 41.4%

Roadmap Toward Meter Level SC-Si Composite (II)



987-mm hexagonal plate

- Square cut has the largest available area (63.7%)
- > 987mm hexagonal SC-Si composite can be formed from 9 wafers
- Final area: 0.634 m²; Yield: 44.3%

Roadmap Toward Meter Level SC-Si Composite (III)



- Rectangular cut at width to length ratio of 0.866 has the highest yield for regular hexagonal composite
- > 1020mm hexagonal composite can be formed from 9 wafers
- Final area: 0.676 m²; Yield: 47.3%

Equi-biaxial flexural strength test



Equi-biaxial flexural strength test according to ASTM C1499-05

Sample Preparation



Samples for mechanical characterizations

Typical surface finish of test samples







Composite and non-composite samples were prepared for comparisons

Typical surface roughness < 0.4 nm for SC-Si samples

Equi-biaxial Flexural Strength of Sapphire Composites



CC#1 379.2 MPa CC#2 490.1 MPa

Sapphire	Thickness	Frac. Load	Fr. Stress	Average	
Disks	[mm]	[N]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	
NC#1	1.19	1346.3	886.4	940.9	
NC#2	1.19	1235.1	813.2	049.0	
MM#1	1.21	1525.8	1004.6	901.2	
MM#2	1.2	1181.3	777.8	091.2	
CC#1	1.17	707.6	490.1	1217	
CC#2	1.17	547.5	379.2	404.7	

Note: NC = non-composite, MM = m plane bonded, CC= c plane bonded

> Observed comparable fracture strength between non-composites and composites

> Lower strength in c-plane bonded sapphire may be explained by lower surface finish

Equi-biaxial Flexural Strength of Sapphire Composites (UDRI)



UDRI test results			dia. [mm] = 30		
Specimen	Thickness	Load	Equibiaxial Flexure Strength		
Number	(mm)	Newton	(MPa)		
NB1	1.2065	1870.91	622	763 5	
NB2	1.20396	2709.25	905	705.5	
M1	1.20904	2878.53	970	01/ 5	
M2	1.20904	2551.12	859	314.5	
C1	1.17602	2296.32	817	829 5	
C2	1.17856	2376.05	842	023.3	

Note: NB = non-composite, m = m plane bonded, c = c plane bonded UDRI = University of Dayton Research Institute

- 1. Load Transfer Bearing
- 2. Top Support Plate
- 3. Specimen Load Ring
- 4. Alignment Post
- 5. Specimen Support Load Ring
- 6. Bottom Support Plate



Equi-biaxial Flexural Strength of SC-Si



No.	D (mm)	t (mm)	Frac. Load (N)	σ _f (MPa)
B1-1	23	1.007	564.11	373.95
B1-2	23	1.003	205.28	137.17
B1-3	23	1.008	695.1	459.87
B1-4	23	1.009	691.88	456.83
B1-6	23	1.007	546.76	362.45
B1-7	23	1.008	831.9	550.37

Average: 390±141 MPa

Surface scratches cause significantly lower flexural strength in Sample B1-2

Equi-biaxial Flexural Strength of Composite SC-Si





Low Energy - Low Strength Failure

ASTM C1499-08

D (mm) Frac. Load (N) σ_f (MPa) No. t (mm) E2-A 20.03 1.019 45.04 30.24 E2-B 20.03 1.015 74.26 50.26 42.21 E2-C 19.98 1.017 28.48 E2-D 20.03 1.017 80.76 54.44 E2-E 20.03 1.017 87.46 58.96

- > No breakage at bonding interface
- > Low flexural strength could be due to micron cracks or heat treatment history.
- More experiments will be performed to pinpoint the reason

Average: 44.5±14 MPa

Interferometric thermal measurement



RE:YAG

12

d₀+∆d

x

L

do

0

YAG

h

Optical path length is temperature related

$$\Delta OPL(x) \approx d_0 (n_0 \cdot \alpha + \frac{dn}{dT}) \Delta T(x)$$

$$\gamma = n_0 \cdot \alpha + dn/dT$$

- Thermal coefficient of expansion of optical path length
- Can be measured or calculated



 $\begin{cases} \Delta T(x) = \frac{Q \cdot x}{K_1 \cdot S} & \text{for } x < l_1 \\ \Delta T(x) = \frac{Q \cdot l_1}{K_1 \cdot S} + \frac{Q}{H \cdot S} + \frac{Q \cdot x}{K_2 \cdot S} & \text{for } x > l_1 \end{cases}$

— K1, K2, thermal conductivities of YAG and rare-earth doped YAG

— H, heat transfer coefficient of AFB interface

Setup for interferometric thermal measurement



- > Mounted in vacuum cryostat to prevent heat convection loss
- Cryogenic temperature distributions can be measured
- > Zygo phase-shifting interferometer: Accuracy for OPD <1/1000 of λ
- Accuracy of AT : <0.02 °C in 5-mm wide YAG crystal</p>

Thermal Test results of YAG composites



> Non-measurable temperature interruption at AFB® bonding interface

- Negligible heat transfer resistances
- Different temperature gradients in YAG and Yb: YAG

— K=9.06 W/m•℃ for 10% Yb:YAG vs. 13 W/m•℃ for YAG

Thermal measurements in Si composites are in process

Summary

- Two 12" wide trapezoids each edge-bonded from 3 equilateral triangles have been demonstrated. One more bond needed to form a final 12" hexagon
- **Technique roadmap toward meter level SC-Si composite has been discussed**
- Demonstrated excellent thermal and mechanical properties of AFB® bond in Sapphire and YAG composite. Experiments in SC-Si composites are in process