

Nanostructured Optical Black Coatings

NASA SBIR Phase II Contract NNX13CP46C

PI: David Carnahan NanoLab, Inc. 179 Bear Hill Rd. Waltham, MA 02451 <u>dcarnahan@nano-lab.com</u> Phone 781 609 2722 Sub: Beth Kelsic Ball Aerospace 1600 Commerce St Boulder, CO 80301 bkelsic@ball.com



Why are aligned nanotubes really black?

- Low density of array (~5vol%) means low index mismatch at interface (low reflection)
- **Spacing between CNT can be sub-** λ
- **CNT** are sub- λ in diameter, & supra- λ in length.
- Dielectric constant is directionally dependent



NanoLab Confidential Information

Hua-Bao, Xiu Lin Ran, Timothy Fisher, "Optical properties of vertical arrays of multiwalled carbon nanotubes from FTDT simulations" 15 March 2010 / Vol. 18, No. 6 / OPTICS EXPRESS 6353

1. Correlate the optical properties of nanotube arrays to their growth parameters; determining the influence of:

diameter site density alignment length graphitization

Ref: Mizuno PNAS, 2009, 106, 15



- 2. Develop adhesion and scratch resistant treatments.
- Scale processes for on flexible substrates. (Titanium, Stainless steel, mica, etc.)
- 4. Develop processes for complex 3D parts

Phase II Technical Objectives

Outline



- 1.1 Catalyzation
- 1.2 Aligned Array Growth
- 2. Resulting Morphologies
 - 2.1 Optical and Electron Microscopy
- 3. Characterization
 - 3.1 Optical Reflectance vs. wavelength (UV-Vis, FITR)
 - 3.3 Adhesion & Toughening
- 4. Scaleup for large substrate deposition
- 5. Conclusions

1.1 Substrate Catalyzation

- Options for catalysis:
 - Cleanroom
 - □ Sputtering (LOS)
 - Evaporation (LOS)
 - ALD (3D)
 - Low-cost options
 - Electro-plating (R2R or 3D)
 - □ Spray-coating (LOS)
 - □ Spin Coating (Planar)









Substrates: SS sheet, Ti sheet, mica sheet, carbon veil, complex parts



1.2 CVD Growth of Carbon Nanotubes



- Lindberg tube furnace, 36" heated length, 3 zones
- Quartz tube 54mm x 2m
- MKS mass flow controllers
 - Ar, H2, C2H4
- Automated with LabView



Scaling to 4" dia, then 9" dia, then roll to roll system

2.1 Resulting Morphology: Standard Arrays on Si

B



2.1 Array on Polycrystalline Mica

- □ Non flat-top morphology, 300 micron length
- □ 300-400nm strands
- □ 10-15nm diameter

SEI

X500

10.0kV



BC

k₩



X30.000

SE



VD 8 6n

2.1 Array on Stainless steel



Ν

В

2.1 Carbon Nanotube- Carbon Veil



Ν

В

3. Characterization and Correlation



🛛 Туре

- Technique What We Learn
- Optical
 - UV-VisSpecular Reflectance in 300-1000nm rangeDRIFTDiffuse Reflectance Infrared from 2.5 to 25 um
- Morphological

SEM

Diameter, alignment, Length, Site density

- Chemical
 - Raman Amorphous carbon and D:G ratio
- Structural
 - Tape
 - Scratch

Adhesion Damage tolerance

3.1 UV-VIS Spectroscopy





Fixed angle reflection, 72 degree incident angle to substrate. Samples are mounted on the middle mirror, allowing us to collect reflectance from 190-1100nm, using both lamps (deuterium (UV) and tungsten (Visible)).

NanoLab Confidential Information

3.1 UV-Vis Data, 70 degrees AOI



Best performers are <0.1% reflectance in the optical, using our system 2 -Krylon Black 605-700 1.8 -3-layer CNT-CV 603-700 nm 1.6 NASA 1-080-5 605-700 1.4 1.2 SS P1 GT1 05-22-2013 % Reflectance Crenellated array 605-700 1 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0 620 700 600 640 660 680 Wavelength (nm)

3.2 DRIFTS



We needed a rapid method to grade the coatings in the IR. Our FTIR system, equipped with a diffuse reflectance accessory (Pike EasiDiff) gives us a way to compare the relative reflectance of our nanotube arrays.

We measure:

П

- 1. Reflected energy spectrum from 2.5-25 microns
- 2. Beam Energy (BE) which is a rough average across the range.
 - □ A mirror gives a BE ~6000
 - \Box Krylon flat black on mica, BE = 324
 - □ Our best arrays, BE= 2



3.2 DRIFTS





Phase I Top Performers- DRIFTS



The arrays pass Scotch-tape style adhesion tests, but only because few nanotubes actually contact the adhesive. However, the arrays have negligible scratch resistance, unless we modify them. Several approaches are being investigated to toughen the nanotube Surface Roughness Crenellation Develop scratch resistant treatments

Alternatives

arrays:

Pre-growth

Geometric

Patterning

Post-Processing

Pillars

Veil morphologies

3.3 Abrasion- Initial-no treatment





3.3 Abrasion- after treatment





4. Scaleup Effort

- □ Scale-up just beginning:
- Concerns
 - Effects of flow (turbulence v. laminar)
 - Gas scaling effects
 - Chamber material (stainless v. quartz)
 - Roll to Roll mechanics



5. Conclusion



- Highly black coatings can be achieved on a number of substrates, materials, and complex parts.
- Adhesion-modifying treatments make a significant difference in the scratch resistance of the films.
- We are building our correlative understanding between growth parameters and the optical response.

Next steps

- Scale-up
 - Pre-qualification tests
 - Vibration
 - Outgassing
 - Cleaning
- Questions?



